DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Current Human Exposures Under Control

Has all available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected

Facility Name: Safety Kleen Sysyems Inc., Chester Service Center Facility Address: 1200 W. Hundred Road, Chester, Virginia

Facility EPA ID #: VAD981043011

Action	es to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI determination?
	XIf yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
	If no - re-evaluate existing data, or
status code	if data are not available skip to #6 and enterIN? (more information needed)
STATUS COOLE	

BACKGROUND

1.

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Page 2

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be "**contaminated**" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	?	Rationale / Key Contaminants
Groundwater	X			See (2) below
Air (indoors) ²		X		
Surface Soil (e.g, <2ft)	X			See (1) below
Surface Water			X	
Sediment		X		
Subsurf. Soil	X			See (1) below
(e.g, >2ft)				
Air (outdoors)		X		
or cit	ing appr	opriate '	'levels," and re	d enter "YE," status code after providing ferencing sufficient supporting nese "levels" are not exceeded.
"contexpla	taminated anation fo	d" medion or the de	um, citing approtermination that	ter identifying key contaminants in each opriate "levels" (or provide an at the medium could pose an supporting documentation.
If un	known (1	or any i	nedia) - skip to	#6 and enter? IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): (1) The surface soils and sub-surface soils under UST removed from service in 1991 were found to be contaminated with mineral spirits. DEQ has determined that no control measures are necessary and the contaminants are naturally attenuating.

(2) The groundwater sampled by the facility on August 22, 2002 shows presence of 1,1-Dichloroethane from 3 to 9 ug/l and 1,1-Dichloroethene at 3 ug/l. The concentration of both these compounds is below MCL.

Footnotes:

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations

in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

² Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Page 3

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

Potential **Human Receptors** (Under Current Conditions)

Contaminated Media Residents Workers Day-Care Construction Trespassers Recreation Food³ Groundwater no no no no no no no Soil (<2 ft) no no no no yes no yes Soil (>2 ft) no yes no yes no no no

Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table:

- 1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors spaces for Media which are not "contaminated") as identified in #2 above.
- 2. enter? yes" or? no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces ("___"). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

	If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional <u>Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet</u> to analyze major pathways).
X	_If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.
	If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code
D .: 1 1	

Rationale and Reference(s): Since surface soils and subsurface soils are contaminated , Workers and construction workers could be exposed to contamination.

³ Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Page 4

4	Can the exposures from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be " significant " (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the ? contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?
	XIf no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
	If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
	If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code
	Rationale and Reference(s):_(1) No construction activity is planned for forseeable future at the excavated underground UST site.

(2) The Mineral Spirit in soil and subsoil is naturally attenuating for 12 years; with no construction activities planned for forseeable future, it is unlikely that any workers will get significant exposure to the contamination.

⁴ If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Page 5

5	Can the "signit	ficant' exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits?
		If yes (all"significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).
		If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be ? unacceptable")- continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially ? unacceptable" exposure.
		If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code
	Rationale and	Reference(s):

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Page 6

6.	Control EI even	propriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposent code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Mate EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting of the facility):	nager) signature
	X	YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" he Based on a review of the information contained in this EI I Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Co Safety Kleen, Chester Service Center facility, EPA ID #V located at Chester, Virginia under current and reasonably conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.	Determination, ntrol" at the AD981043011, expected
		NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Cont	trol."
		IN - More information is needed to make a determination	n.
	Completed by 9/22/03	(original signed)	Date
		(print) Dinesh Vithani	<u></u>
		(title) Environmental Engineer sr.	
9/	Supervisor 22/03	(original signed)	Date
		(print) Leslie Romanchik	
		(title) Director	
		(EPA Region or State) Virginia	
	Locations who	ere References may be found:	
		Virginia DEQ, 629 E. Main Street, Richmond, VA 23219 Phone# (804) 698-4204	
	(name)_Dinesh Vithani	
		e #)_(804) 698-4204	
	dkvith	ani@deq.state.va.us	

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.

DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control

Facility Name: Safety Kleen Syatems Inc., Chester Service Center Facility Address: 1200 W. Hundred Road, Chester, Virginia 23831 Facility EPA ID #: VAD981043011

1.	Has all available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the groundwater media, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid
	Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern
	(AOC)), been considered in this EI determination?
	XIf yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
	If no - re-evaluate existing data, or
	if data are not available, skip to #8 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of ?Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control? EI

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater has stabilized, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the original "area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750) Page 2

2.	Is groundwater known or reasonably suspected to be " contaminated " above appropriately protective "levels" (i.e., applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, or from, the facility?
	XIf yes - continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation.
	If no - skip to #8 and enter "YE" status code, after citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not "contaminated."
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.
	Rationale and Reference(s):_See EI form 750 completed by EPA on 9-29-98, attached.

Footnotes:

¹? Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate "levels" (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

Page 3

3.	Has the migration of contaminated groundwater stabilized (such that contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within "existing area of contaminated groundwater" as defined by the monitoring locations designated at the time of this determination)?
	XIf yes - continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g. groundwater sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the "existing area of groundwater contamination" ²).
	If no (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the designated locations defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination" - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after providing an explanation.
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): The concentration of chloroform and tetrachloroethene have decreased considerably, from 14 ppb to 0.8 ppb for chloroform and from 24 ppb to 5.9 ppb for tetrachloroethene. The RBC value for chloroform is 0.15 ppb and MCL for tetrachloroetene is 5 ppb. Due to low concentration of contaminants, it is not expected to be threat to human health and the environment.

² "existing area of contaminated groundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of "contamination" that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all "contaminated" groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of "contaminated" groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750) Page 4

4.	Does ? contaminated? groundwater discharge into surface water bodies?
	If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies
	XIf no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater? contamination" does not enter surface water bodies.
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.
	Rationale and Reference(s):

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

Page 5

5.	? insignifican surface water in other condition setting), which	ge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to be t? (i.e., the maximum concentration³ of each contaminant discharging into s less than 10 times their appropriate groundwater "level," and there are no as (e.g., the nature, and number, of discharging contaminants, or environmental significantly increase the potential for unacceptable impacts to surface ats, or eco-systems at these concentrations)?
•		If yes - skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration ³ of <u>key</u> contaminants discharged above their groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) provide a statement of professional judgement/explanation (or reference documentation) supporting that the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is not anticipated to have unacceptable impacts to the receiving surface water, sediments, or eco-system.
		If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water is potentially significant) - continue after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration of <u>each</u> contaminant discharged above its groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) for any contaminants discharging into surface water in concentrations greater than 100 times their appropriate groundwater? levels," the estimated total amount (mass in kg/yr) of each of these contaminants that are being discharged (loaded) into the surface water body (at the time of the determination), and identify if there is evidence that the amount of discharging contaminants is increasing.
		If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.

Rationale and Reference(s):

 $^{^3}$ As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g., hyporheic) zone.

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

Page 6

6.	Can the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water be shown to be ? currently acceptable " (i.e., not cause impacts to surface water, sediments or ecosystems that should not be allowed to continue until a final remedy decision can be made and implemented ⁴)?
	If yes - continue after either: 1) identifying the Final Remedy decision incorporating these conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed for the protection of the sites surface water, sediments, and eco-systems), and referencing supporting documentation demonstrating that these criteria are not exceeded by the discharging groundwater; OR 2) providing or referencing an interim-assessment, appropriate to the potential for impact, that shows the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is (in the opinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequately protective of receiving surface water, sediments, and eco-systems, until such time when a full assessment and final remedy decision can be made. Factors which should be considered in the interimassessment (where appropriate to help identify the impact associated with discharging groundwater) include: surface water body size, flow, use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface water/sediment contamination, surface water and sediment sample results and comparisons to available and appropriate surface water and sediment "levels," as well as any other factors, such as effects on ecological receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic surveys or site-specific ecological Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory agency would deem appropriate for making the EI determination.
	If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to be " currently acceptable ") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after documenting the currently unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or eco-systems.
	If unknown - skip to 8 and enter ? IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

⁴ Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

⁵ The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems.

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

Page 7

7.	Will groundwater monitoring / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecological data, as necessary) be collected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remained within the horizontal (or vertical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminated groundwater?"		
	XIf yes - continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities or future sampling/measurement events. Specifically identify the well/measurement locations which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) that groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically, as necessary) beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination."		
	If no - enter "NO" status code in #8.		
	If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.		
	Rationale and Reference(s): The facility continues to abide by Corrective Action Permit		

issued by EPA.

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750) Page 8

8.	Under Control signature and o	EI (event code CA750), and obtain Supervisor (or	IS status codes for the Migration of Contaminated Groundwater le CA750), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) determination below (attach appropriate supporting map of the facility).		
	X	YE - Yes, Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI determination, it has been determined that the ? Migration of Contaminated Groundwater" is "Under Control" at the Safety Kleen, Chester Service Center facility, EPA ID # VAD 981043011, located at Chester, Virginia. Specifically, this determination indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater is under control, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the "existing area of contaminated groundwater" This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.			
		NO - Unacceptable migration of contaminated grexpected.	oundwater is observed or		
		IN - More information is needed to make a determ	mination.		
	Completed by	(signature)	Date		
		(print) Dinesh Vithani (title) Environmental Engineer sr.			
	Supervisor	(signature)	Date		
		(print) Debra Miller			
		(title) HW Permitting Manager			
		(EPA Region or State) Virginia			

Locations where References may be found:

Virginia DEQ, 629 E. Main Street, Richmond, VA 23219 Phone # (804) 698-4204

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers	

(name)_Dinesh Vithani	
(phone #)_(804) 698-4204	
dkvithani@deq.state.va.us	
